

Predicting the Outcomes of Parents of Transition-Age Youth or Young Adults with ASD

Venus Wong, John McGrew & Lisa Ruble

Abstract

The transition outcomes for individuals with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and their parents are less than desirable. A survey of parent stressors, resources, coping/appraisals, and adaptation to transition was completed by 226 parents. The mediating mechanisms between stressors and parent outcomes were identified. At the indicator level, three stressors (i.e., autism severity, mental health crisis/challenging behaviors, and filial obligation), four resources (i.e., general social support, transition planning quality, parent-teacher alliance, and parenting efficacy), and three coping styles (i.e., problem-focused coping, avoidance-focused coping, and optimism) predicted parents' outcomes (i.e., burden, transition experience, subjective health, and quality of life). At the structural level, optimism, emotion-coping strategies, and resources mediated the relationships between stressors and parental outcomes. Research and practical applications are discussed.

Keywords: family outcomes, family-centered support, parent experience, transition-aged adolescents with ASD, young adults with ASD

Predictors of Outcomes of Parents with Transition-Age Youth or Young Adults with ASD:

A Parent's Perspective

Each year, approximately 50,000 teens with autism spectrum disorder (ASD) turn 18 in the United States (Shattuck et al., 2012), highlighting the daunting and urgent need to ensure that these students are prepared to exit school with necessary skills for young adulthood. The Workforce Innovation Opportunity Act (WIOA) defined transition aged youth as ranging from 14-24 years of age and tasked the public sector (e.g., schools, Vocational Rehabilitation agencies) with transition-related support. However, transition outcomes of students with ASD are poor and considerably worse than peers with other types of disabilities (Cameto et al., 2004; Shattuck et al., 2011; Howlin, Goode, Hutton, Rutter., 2004; Shattuck et al., 2012).

These negative transition outcomes and experiences can impact parents because they continue to provide support and advocate for their adolescents and adult children with ASD (Smith et al., 2010; Ankeny, Wilkins, & Spain, 2009; Hanley-Maxwell, Pogoloff & Whitney-Thomas, 1998). In fact, about 80% of adult children with ASD continue to live with their parents after high school (Shattuck, Wagner, Narendorf, Sterzing, & Hensley, 2011). Thus, the responsibility to care for their adult children with ASD often takes a toll on parents. Parents of children with developmental disabilities show more depressive symptoms, poorer health, and lower functional abilities compared to parents of an adult child without a disability (Seltzer et al., 2011; Bitsika & Sharpley, 2004; Seltzer, Floyd, Song, Greenberg, & Hong, 2011). Having a child with autism may also negatively impact other life domains, such as marital satisfaction (Rodrigue, Morgan, & Geffken, 1990), marital status (Seltzer et al, 2011), and financial health (Parish, Thomas, Williams, & Crossman, 2015).

Family-Centered Transition

Evidence suggests that family involvement in the transition process is associated with positive postsecondary outcomes (Hanger, Cloutier, Arakelian, & Bucker, 2016; Test et al., 2009); yet it is often neglected in daily practices (Dempsey & Keen, 2008; Dunst, 2002; Kucharczyk et al., 2015). More than 40% of parents reported that their youth's IEP goals were determined mostly by the school (Cameto et al., 2004), indicating that parents often were not the core decision makers in the planning process. Many of the parents were not even informed about transition planning (Cameto et al., 2004; AUTHOR, 2019) or the availability of effective post-secondary interventions that can lead to gainful employment (e.g., Comprehensive Transition and Post-secondary programs; US Department of Education, 2008; Ankenny & Lehmann, 2011; Moore & Schelling 2015).

In addition to focusing on the youth, a successful transition should also be based on how the parents perceive the transition process and their outcomes (Neece, Kraemer, & Blacher, 2009). That is, the transition process and goals should take parent well-being into consideration. To facilitate family-centered practices for transition planning, researchers and practitioners need a better understanding of the risk and protective factors at the parent and family levels. Research is necessary because a lack of studies focusing on parents' perspectives and experiences limits our ability to develop and evaluate family-centered transition planning approaches (Gerhardt & Lanier, 2011; AUTHOR, 2018).

ABCX Model and Protective Factors at the Family Level

In the current study, the ABCX Model (McCubbin & McCubbin, 1993) was used to conceptualize and analyze parent adaptation and adjustment experiences during the transition process (Lustig, 1999). Under this model, parent-level outcomes are treated as adaptation

outcomes. The ABCX model includes three predictors of adaptation (X) – stressors (A), resources (B), and family coping and perception (C).

Stressors (A) are defined as life events or transitions that have an impact on the family system (e.g., the severity of autism; McCubbin & Patterson, 1983) and the cumulative effects of daily stressors over time (Lavee, McCubbin, & Patterson, 1985). For example, increased symptoms of ASD (an indicator of severity) are associated with poorer parent expectations, knowledge, and worries when compared to ;parents of children with Down syndrome, learning disabilities, and cerebral palsy (Blacher, Kraemer, & Howell, 2010). Maladaptive behaviors (Lounds, J., Seltzer, Greenberg, & Shattuck, 2007) and the child’s poor health status (Aschbrenner, K. A., Greenberg, J. S., Allen, S. M., & Seltzer, 2010) are further indicators of severity and can negatively impact parents’ well-being. Aging parents of maturing children with ASD also encounter additional normative stressors, such as deteriorating health, changes to marital status (e.g., loss of spouse), or assuming the caregiving role for another family member (Kim, Greenberg, Seltzer, & Krauss, 2003).

Resources (B) are defined as the parent abilities to counteract the negative effects of the stressors (e.g., family’s social network), existing resources, and newly developed resources following the crisis experienced by the family (McCubbin & Patterson, 1983). In general, even though it is commonly accepted that aging parents may have a higher salary than younger parents (Dykens et al., 2000), those with adult children with disabilities are more economically vulnerable than the general population (Fujiura et al., 1998, 2014). In addition, parents’ relationships with their children (Greenberg, Seltzer, Krauss, Chou, & Hong, 2004), support from other adult children (Heller, Miller, & Factor, 1997), and social support (such as

participating in a support group) are also important protective factors of caregiver well-being and quality of life (Aschbrenner et al., 2010; Chou, Pu, Lee, Lin, & Kroger, 2009).

Formal support also plays a critical role. For instance, medical services, such as the child's use of psychotropic medication, are associated with improved caregiver well-being (Lounds et al., 2007). Minnes, Woodford, and Passey (2007) also found that receipt of formal services, such as case management and respite care, mediated the impact of stressors on the well-being of parents of adult children with intellectual disability. One critical formal support is school-based support, which can be vital in producing desirable outcomes. The National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 revealed that, among their participants with ASD, 97% attended public schools (Newman, Wagner, Juang, et al., 2011). For instance, parent-school relationships (Test et al., 2009), access to career counseling, vocational training (Chiang, Cheung, Li, & Tsai, 2013; Miligore & Timmons, 2012), and vocational rehabilitation services (Carter, Austin, & Trainor, 2019; Roux, , Rast, J, & Shattuck, 2018) were related positively to both important learning and transition outcomes. Schools can provide a variety of evidence-based supports to help during the transition, such as occupational courses, access to internships, and instruction for self-advocacy (Test et al., 2009). However, many schools' transition practices are inadequate, such as not providing enough social and work-related interventions or chances to demonstrate self-determination during transition (Wehman et al., 2014). The common disconnection between in-school and post-school services indicates that schools often fail to act as a medium to facilitate a seamless transition (Hendricks & Wehman, 2009; AUTHOR, 2019), and highlights the need to understand the role of schools as sources of support during transition (Wehman et al., 2014).

Family coping and perception (C) are defined as the family's views of the crisis (e.g., perceived impacts; McCubbin & Patterson, 1983) and the family's general orientation to dealing

with stressful situations (e.g., overall appraisal, coping strategies; Florian & Dangoor, 1994). For example, Greenberg and colleagues (2004) found that optimism – seeing positivity and expecting positive events in life was a mediator between positive parent-child relationships and parents’ well-being. Qualitative studies have also explored the potential importance of optimism in response to family stress (Heiman, 2002). Similarly, Minnes and colleagues (2007) found that parental negative perceptions of aging mediated the relationship between parental health and parental depression. Coping styles also have been related to caregiver outcomes. For example, mothers of adults with intellectual disability using emotion-focused coping reported lower levels of well-being whereas, use of problem-focused coping resulted in a reduction in stress (Kim et al., 2003). However, some other studies failed to find similar effects (Pottie & Ingram, 2008). In contrast, passive-avoidance coping has been consistently found to be negatively associated with positive parent outcomes (e.g., AUTHOR, 2014).

Finally, **family adaptation (X)** is the outcome of the adaptation and adjustment process and is a product of the “A”, “B”, and “C” components (Lavee et al., 1985). Williamson and Perkins (2014) observed that parents’ economic, mental, and physical health outcomes are important family-level outcomes. Parents’ overall well-being, absence of mental disorders, stress, and quality of life have been commonly used as parental outcomes in previous studies (e.g., Manning, Wainwright, & Bennett, 2011; AUTHOR, 2014). However, the level of overall family adaptation of those with adolescents and young adults with ASD is under-researched (Seltzer et al., 2000).

The ABCX model provides a helpful framework to organize these variables and further explore these issues. The purpose of the current study was to apply the ABCX model to answer two primary research questions: (1) What are the predictors of positive transition outcomes as

perceived by parents? (2) Do B (stressors) and C (coping and perceptions) mediate the relationships between A (resources) and X (adaptation) as predicted by the ABCX model?

Method

Participants

Parents (N=252) were recruited through Amazon Mechanical Turk, a crowdsourcing marketplace that enables a wide reach of participants online; each participant received \$7 for their involvement. The survey contained five attention check questions which were randomly distributed throughout the survey (e.g., Please check “yes”). Participants who did not pass the attention check questions were screened out, resulting in a sample of 226. It is worth noting that six (2.7%) of the participants were not the parents but assumed the parenting roles of at least one transition-age youth with ASD. These relationships included uncles or older cousins. All participants were located in the United States. The parenthood status and location were verified by Amazon Mechanical Turk and IP addresses. All the participants reported that their youth/young adults had an IEP during high school and received a clinical diagnosis of ASD from a psychologist, psychiatrist, or other type of medical doctor. Autism severity was obtained using the Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; Rutter et al., 2003). Around 11.5% of the participants reported a SCQ score less than 11, which fails to meet the usual cutoff to confirm a diagnosis of ASD (Allen, Williams, & Hutchins, 2007). However, we decided to retain the parents of individuals with ASD with minimal autism symptoms because approximately 10-15% of individuals with ASD obtained more favorable adult outcomes, described as “symptom-free”(Seltzer, Shattuck and Abbeduto, 2004). The tendency for decreased ASD symptomology in older age (Howlin & Moss, 2012) implies that some adults with ASD with fewer autism symptoms may no longer meet the diagnostic criteria. In addition, the percentage of adolescents

and young adults with a SCQ score below 11 is similar to the percentage reported in the Seltzer's (2004) study. Since all the parents reported that their youth/young adults were receiving special education services or received such services before they graduated from high school, we believe that our sample is a good representation of the whole spectrum of ASD that can help us understand transition-age youth during the transition process. Out of precaution, the same analyses reported below were repeated using a sample (N=200) that contains only parents of youth/young adults with an SCQ score at or above 11. The results showed similar patterns. Thus, we utilized the full data set (N=226) in reporting the results. Overall, the majority of the parents were white (77%) and female (68.2%). Half of them had a college degree. See Table 1 for more information.

Measures

A-Resources

Transition-aged youth's autism severity. The Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ; Rutter et al., 2003) is a dichotomously rated (Yes/No), 40-item questionnaire that measures the severity of autism, with higher scores indicating greater autism symptom severity. A score of 11 indicates an elevated likelihood of an ASD diagnosis (Norris & Lecavalier, 2010). The SCQ has good sensitivity and specificity in identifying autism (sensitivity = .85, specificity = .75; Norris & Lecavalier, 2010) and good reliability ($\alpha = .80$; McStay et al., 2014).

Transition-aged Youth's adaptive skills. The 17-item Waisman Activities of Daily Living (W-ADL) Scale was used to evaluate the adaptive skills of individuals with disabilities. Items are rated using a three-point Likert scale (0=does not do at all; 1=does with help; 2=independent). The W-ADL has demonstrated good construct validity and internal consistency ($\alpha = .88-.94$; Maenner et al., 2013).

Transition-aged Youth's mental and behavioral health crisis/ challenging behaviors.

The 28-item Health Crisis Assessment Scale (HCAS; Kalb, Hagopian, Gross, & Vasa, 2017) uses a hybrid scale to measure the presence of emotional and behavioral symptoms in a child. After indicating relevant symptoms, the parent then selects the most dangerous behavior and rates the acuity of such behavior and their efficacy in managing the behavior. HCAS has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .87$), construct validity, criterion validity, and convergent validity (Kalb et al., 2017). The HCAS was also used as a proxy measure of challenging behaviors because its first section measures the severity of 14 types of challenging behaviors. That is, injures or hurts self, physically aggressive towards others, etc ($\alpha = .89$). The overall scale correlated highly with the 14-item first section ($r = .84$).

Family accumulative stressor. The 43-item Social Adjustment Rating Scales (SRRS; Holmes & Rahe, 1967) was used to measure general life stressors. Items are rated using a six-point Likert scale (1 = not experienced; 5 = experienced with extreme stress). The SSRS has demonstrated good internal consistency (Cronbach's $\alpha = .87$; AUTHOR, 2009).

Parent's filial obligation. The Filial Obligation Scale (FOS) was adapted by the author based on the measure reported by Dautzenberg et al. (2000) ($\alpha = .82$; Mangen, Landry, & Bengtson, 1987). The original measure intended to evaluate one's perceived responsibilities for taking care of their own aging parents in six domains (e.g., providing companionship, helping and supporting their parents with household chores and transportation, providing advice and guidance, providing personal and health care, providing housing, and financial support). The modified version asked about the frequency/amount of support provided for aging parents in the aforementioned six areas using a five-point-Likert scale (1 = None or almost none to 5 = Yearly).

For financial support, a three-point Likert scale was used (1= None or almost none to 3 = Fully support). The sum score was used to represent overall filial obligation.

Household income. Annual household income was measured using a single 14-point anchored scale. Each 1-point increase represented a \$5,000 increase in income (1 = Less than or equal to \$20,000 to 14 = More than \$80,000). Household income was treated as an indicator under A instead of B because it represented parent's financial strain. The CFA (Confirmatory Factor Analysis) analysis below confirmed that household income loaded significantly on A rather than B in the current model.

B-Resources

Parent's general social support. The 12-item Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support (MSPSS; Zimet, 1990) was used to measure general social support. Items are rated using a seven-point Likert scale (1 = very strongly disagree to 7 = very strongly agree). The MPSS has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .92$; Zimet, 1990).

Parent's transition-related support. The 33-item Transition Quality Questionnaire was used to assess the quality and quantity of the transition support provided by the school. Items were rated using a four-point Likert scale. Items for the TPQQ were developed by the authors based on the best-practices for transitioning youth (Landmark, Ju, & Zhang, 2010) Indicator 13, and focus group data collected from more than 40 stakeholders (e.g., policy makers, parents, teachers; AUTHOR, 2019). The TPQQ demonstrated good internal consistency in the current sample ($\alpha = .94$).

Parent-teacher relationships. The 20-item Parent-Teacher Alliance Questionnaire (PTAQ) was used to measure parents' perceptions of the parent-teacher relationship. Items are

rated using a five-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree). The PTAQ has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .95$; AUTHOR, 2016).

Religious support/faith. The 10-item Santa Clara Strength of Religious Faith Questionnaire (SCSRFQ; Plante & Boccaccini, 1997) was used to assess level of faith. Items are rated using a four-point Likert scale (1 = Very disagree to 4 = Very agree). The scale has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .94$ to $.97$; Plante, 2010).

Parenting efficacy. The 8-item adapted Mastery Subscale of the Revised Caregiver Appraisal Scale (MS-RCA) as modified by Weiss, Tint, Paquette-Smith, and Lunsy (2016) was used to measure parenting efficacy. Items are rated using a five-point-Likert-scale (1 = disagree a lot/never to 5 = agree a lot/nearly always). The scale has good internal consistency ($\alpha = 0.80$; Weiss et al., 2016).

C-Coping and appraisal

Parental coping strategies. The 28-item Brief COPE (Carver, 1997) was used to assess coping style. Items are rated using a four-point Likert scale (1 = I haven't been doing this at all to 4 = I've been doing this a lot). Three coping subscale scores were used: problem-focused, emotional approach, and passive-avoidance coping. The subscales have demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .60$ to $.81$; AUTHOR, 2009).

Parental Optimism. The 10-item Life Orientation Test- Revised (LOT; Scheier, Carver, & Bridges, 1994) was used to measure optimism. Items are rated using a five-point Likert scale. The LOT has adequate test-retest reliability (ICC = $.72$) and internal reliability ($\alpha = .69$ - $.72$; Hirsch, Britton, & Conner, 2010).

X-Family adaptive outcomes

Parent burden. The 21-item Caregiver Strain Questionnaire (CGSQ; Brannan & Heflinger, & Bickman, 1997) was used to measure parent stress and burden. Items are rated using a five-point Likert scale (1 = not at all a problem to 5 = very much a problem). The CGSQ has demonstrated good internal consistency ($\alpha = .94$; AUTHOR, 2009)

Parent-perceived transition experience. The 21-item Transition Daily Rewards and Worries Questionnaire (TDRWQ; Glidden & Jobe, 2007; Menard, Schoolcraft, Glidden & Lazarus, 2002) was used to measure parents' perception of rewards and concerns towards the transition process. Items are rated using a five-point Likert scale. The scale has demonstrated adequate internal consistency ($\alpha = .74-.85$), test-retest reliability ($r = .56-.68$), convergent validity, and divergent validity (Conti-Ramsden, Botting, & Durkin, 2008).

Parent-perceived family quality of life. The Beach Center Family Quality of Life Scale (FQoL; Hoffman, Marquis, Poston, Summers, & Turnbull, 2006) contains 25 items rated using a five-point-Likert-scale (1 = very Dissatisfied to 5 = Very satisfied). It has demonstrated good internal reliability ($\alpha = .88-.94$; Hoffman et al., 2006), test-retest reliability ($r = .59-.63$), convergent validity, and construct validity (Hoffman et al., 2006)

Parent subjective health. Participants rated their health using a single item "please rate your overall health" using a four-point scale (1 = Poor to 4 = Excellent). See Table 2 for additional information about the measures.

Structural Equation Models

For the second research question, a structural equation model was developed based on a prior qualitative study (AUTHOR, 2018) and a detailed literature review as summarized in the previous section with a consideration of the availability of psychometrically sound measures and participant's burden. In the current model, six indicators were selected to represent A, stressors,

i.e., child's autism severity, child's adaptive skills, child's mental health crisis, family accumulative stressors, parent's filial obligation, and household income. Five indicators, including parent's general social support, parent's transition-related support, parent-teacher relationships, religious support/faith, and parenting efficacy, were used to represent B, resources. Four indicators, including problem-focused coping, emotion-focused coping, avoidance coping, and optimism, were used to represent C, coping and appraisal. Lastly, X included four indicators, parent burden, parent transition experience, family quality of life, and parent subjective health. Two default models were assessed to test the reciprocity of the B and C elements as proposed by the ABCX model (McCubbin & McCubbin, 1993). Mixed results have been found about the direction of the effects between B and C (i.e., Does B cause C or the reverse?; Carver, Scheier, & Segerstrom, 2010). Because the current model is cross-sectional it cannot support recursively related paths between B and C. Thus, the first SEM model included only the paths from C to B, while the second one included only the paths from B to C.

Data Analysis

The data were tested for multicollinearity, outliers, and missing data using the VIF and Tolerance indices, Cook's Distance, and Little's Missing Completely at Random Test (MCRT), respectively. There was no evidence of multicollinearity or significant outliers. However, the MCRT indicated that data from three measures, the PTAQ, BRIEF-COPE, and CGSQ, were not missing at random, even though the missing data were no more than 0.2% per each item. We deleted all the cases (N=26) that contained at least one missing response for these three measures and created a "cleaned" dataset. Due to the absence of major differences between the two datasets and the scattered missing data pattern, we decided to impute the missing data in the original dataset using the Expectation-Maximization (EM) approach in SPSS 24. Correlational

analyses and linear regression analyses were conducted using SPSS 24 to answer research question one.

Structural equation modeling (SEM) was conducted using AMOS 24. Assuming 4 latent variables and 19 observed variables in the model, a p-level of .05, a two-tailed test, a power of at least .80, and a large effect size of .50, a sample of 91 would be sufficient to test the anticipated structural equation modeling (SEM; Soper, 2017). Prior to testing the mediational hypotheses, we conducted four CFA models verifying the latent A, B, C, and X variables. To test our mediational hypothesis for research question two, we used SEM to develop two partially latent structural regression models. We evaluated the model fit using the following standard measures of practical fit: RMSEA, CFI, TLI, and NFI. Modification indices and recommendations were used for improving the fit of the model. Nonsignificant effects were removed from the model. The two models were compared based on the AIC index, BIC index, parsimony, and theoretical relevance.

Results

Correlation

Due to the large number of variables in the correlation matrix, only the correlations with the four X outcomes (i.e., parent burden, parent transition experience, family quality of life, and parent subjective health) and other A, B, C variables are reported. All four outcome variables were significantly correlated with autism severity, adaptive skills, mental health crisis, accumulated life events, annual income, social support, transition planning quality, parent-teacher alliance, parent efficacy, avoidance-focused coping, and optimum respectively. Only transition experience and family quality of life were significantly correlated with emotion-focused coping ($r = .18$; $p = .27$). Only family quality of life was positively correlated with

problem-focused coping ($r = .18; p < .01$), and only parent stress was positively correlated with filial obligation ($r = .18; p < .05$). No correlation was found between the four outcome variables and religious faith (see Table 3), although it was strongly correlated with emotion-focused coping ($r = .50, p < .01$).

Regression

Simple linear regressions were used to further understand the relationships between the predictors and four outcome variables.

Parent burden. Mental health crisis ($b = .50, t(210) = 2.61, p = .01$), problem-focused coping ($b = .91, t(210) = 3.00, p = .003$), avoidance-focused coping ($b = .97, t(210) = 5.14, p < .001$), and optimism ($b = -.44, t(210) = -3.14, p = .002$) were significant predictors of parent burden. The overall model was significant, $F(15, 210) = 17.72, p < .001$, and accounted for 56% of the variance.

Transition experience. Autism severity ($b = -.61, t(210) = -3.82, p < .001$), filial obligation ($b = .32, t(210) = 2.03, p = .04$), transition planning quality ($b = .28, t(210) = 3.44, p = .001$), parenting efficacy ($b = .91, t(210) = 3.00, p = .003$), problem-focused coping ($b = -.63, t(210) = -2.04, p = .04$), avoidance-focused coping ($b = -.56, t(210) = -2.92, p = .004$), and optimism ($b = .53, t(210) = 3.70, p < .001$) significantly predicted transition experience. The overall model was significant, $F(15, 210) = 20.35, p < .001$, and accounted for 59% of the variance.

Family quality of life. Filial obligation ($b = .35, t(210) = 2.95, p = .004$), social support ($b = .42, t(210) = 7.67, p < .001$), transition planning quality ($b = .16, t(210) = 2.56, p < .001$), parent-teacher alliance ($b = .18, t(210) = 2.57, p = .01$), and avoidance-focused coping ($b = -.39,$

$t(210) = -2.63, p = .01$) were significant predictors of family quality of life. The overall model was significant, $F(15, 210) = 30.01, p < .001$, and accounted for 68% of the variance.

Parent subjective health. Parenting efficacy ($b = .02, t(210) = 2.04, p = .04$) and optimism ($b = .03, t(210) = 4.61, p < .001$) were significant predictors of parent subjective health, while transition planning quality was a marginal predictor ($b = .02, t(210) = 1.95, p = .05$). The overall model was significant, $F(15, 210) = 5.01, p < .001$, and accounted for 62% of the variance.

Confirmatory Factor Analyses (CFAs)

CFAs were conducted to confirm the measurement models for each of the four elements of the ABCX model. The four separate CFAs constructed for each of the latent variables (A, B, C, X) found that all the models had good model fit. See Table 5 for the fit indices. However, for Factor C, avoidance coping was not significantly loaded ($\beta = -.15, p = .08$) despite the good overall model fit. Also, optimism and avoidance coping were negatively loaded on Factor C. The literature is relatively uninformative about the relationship among the four indicators selected to represent C (e.g., Aldwin & Revenson, 1987; Benson, 2010). Because the four indicators did not load coherently on C, the four indicators were entered separately in the model. That is, the four indicators were treated as their own constructs. In contrast, the indicators selected were representative of the A, B, and X latent variables. See Figure 1.

Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)

Model 1 (with only paths from C to B)

The original model did not demonstrate sufficient model fit, $\chi^2 (139, N = 226) = 529.39, p < .001$; RMSEA = .11 CFI = .78; TLI = .73; NFI = .73 (see Figure 5). In this model, five paths were non-significant and were trimmed.

Model 1.1

The trimmed Model 1.1 found adequate fit with the data, $\chi^2 (92, N = 226) = 169.05, p < .001$; RMSEA=.06; CFI=.96; TLI=.94; NFI=.91; AIC=347.55; BIC = 642.73. Despite a significant overall chi-square statistic, the rest of the fit indices suggest good model fit (see Figure 6).

Model 2 (with only paths from B to C)

The original model did not demonstrate sufficient model fit, $\chi^2 (139, N = 226) = 659.60, p < .001$; RMSEA = .13 CFI = .69; TLI=.62; NFI=.65. Seven paths were non-significant and were pruned. As a result, problem-focused coping was not significantly related to any variables and was deleted from the model (see Figure 7.)

Model 2.1

The trimmed Model 2 found adequate fit with the data, $\chi^2 (91, N = 226) = 166.61, p < .001$; RMSEA=.06; CFI=.95; TLI=.92; NFI=.91; AIC=326.62; BIC=600.26. Overall, the fit indices suggest good model fit (see Figure 8).

Model comparison

Despite the apparently good model fit indices of the two models, Model 2.1 was preferable to Model 1.1 based on the lower AIC and BIC scores. Also, Model 2.1 was more parsimonious with two fewer paths and was more aligned with the ABCX Model (i.e., with A, B, C, X connected and B and C as mediators) and thus was more interpretable. We selected Model 2.1 as the final model and reported details of the results of Model 2.1 in the following section.

Model 2.1 as the final model

Proceeding sequentially through the ABCX model, greater levels of A (Stressors) were associated with lower levels of B (Resources; $\beta = -.164, p < .001$), greater passive-avoidance

coping ($\beta = 2.99, p < .001$) and less optimism ($\beta = -3.02, p < .001$). That is, with more stressors, parents reported fewer resources, had less optimism, and utilized more avoidance-coping.

Second, higher levels of B were associated with higher levels of X ($\beta = 4.00, p < .001$). That is, more resources were associated with better parent outcomes. Finally, greater levels of optimism ($\beta = .14, p < .001$) and emotion-focused coping ($\beta = .25, p < .001$) were related to higher levels of resources or B. However, higher levels of emotion-focused coping also were related to lower levels of adaptation X ($\beta = -.52, p = .002$).

Next, the mediating effect in Model 2.1 was examined. The bootstrapping estimate showed a significant indirect effect between A and X through two paths (i.e., $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X$, $A \rightarrow \text{Optimism} \rightarrow B \rightarrow X$; $\beta = -8.28$, 95% CI = - 13.65 to -5.74; $p = .002$). That is, overall, stressors were related to poorer adaptive outcomes because parents with more stressors reported being less able to obtain the necessary resources to cope, resulting in poorer adaptive outcomes.

Additionally, parents with high levels of stressors also reported being less optimistic, which was in turn related to lower levels of resources, and then to poorer adaptive outcomes.

There was also a significant indirect effect between emotion-focused coping and X through B ($\beta = .99$, 95% , 95% CI = .68 to 1.37; $p = .003$). The positive indirect effect was strong enough to override the negative direct effect between emotional-focused coping and X, resulting in a positive, significant total effect ($\beta = .99$, 95%=.68 to 1.37, $p = .003$).

Discussion

We analyzed a relatively large set of variables and their relationships with four important parent transition outcomes. The findings provided a clearer picture of both the weight of each predictor at the indicator level and the mediating mechanism between A and X at the structural level.

Direct effect of ABC on X

The regression and correlational analyses provided a detailed representation of the total effects of the 15 predictors on parent burden, transition experience, family quality of life, and parent subjective health. Each is reviewed below.

Stressors (A)

Results from this group of parents of transition-age youth and young adults with ASD are consistent with a previous meta-analysis (Hayes & Watson, 2013) and other research findings (e.g., Baghdadli, Pry, & Michelon, 2014; Rattaz, Michelon, Roeyers, & Baghdadli, 2017), that *mental health crisis/challenging behaviors* (e.g., elopement, self-injurious behaviors, aggression towards others) are the most significant predictors of parent burden among all the selected stressors.

Autism severity correlated with a negative transition experience. Parents of children with more severe autism symptoms experienced more worry. Similarly, Blacher and colleagues' findings (2010) also noted that autism, when compared to Down's syndrome, cerebral palsy, and other learning disabilities, correlated with higher levels of worries and restrictive expectations in parents during transition. Parental concerns are validated by findings that positive transition outcomes, such as competitive employment and independent living, are more prevalent among individuals with fewer autism symptoms (Eaves & Ho 2008; Howlin et al. 2004; Talory & Selter, 2011). However, similar to Rattaz and colleagues (2017)'s findings, autism symptomology was not associated with parental quality of life among older parents.

Resources (B)

Transition planning quality emerged as an important predictor of three parent transition outcomes: parent transition experience, parent quality of life, and parent health. Transition

planning quality assesses to what extent schools implement evidence-based or recommended practices according to the IDEA, Indicator 13, and existing research literature based on parent perception. *Parent-teacher alliance* was also found to predict family quality of life, yet is often neglected as a source of support in studies predicting parent adaptive outcomes (e.g., Boehm, Carter, & Taylor, 2015). This result highlights the importance of both quantitative (e.g., compliance with standard practices) and qualitative (e.g., parent-teacher relationship) aspects of school-based transition planning in family-centered transition support and family outcomes. The need to train school personnel to support family-centered support is paramount (Schall et al., 2012; Schall et al., 2012; Talapatra, 2014; Lillenstein, Levinson, Sylvester, & Brady, 2006; AUTHOR, 2011).

Other than school-based support, *general social support* was also a vital predictor of family quality of life, replicating the importance of informal social support to parents' well-being (Bishop et al., 2007; Ekas, Lickenbrock, & Whitman, 2010). Overall, both formal and informal support predicted better parent outcomes.

In addition to external factors, internal factors also proved to be important. Consistent with previous research with parents, *parenting efficacy* (Carter, Martinez-Pedraza, & Gray, 2009; Raikes & Thompson, 2005) predicted both parent transition experience and parent health. This finding provides strong evidence for the continual importance of parenting efficacy and its role in transition planning, and encourages future researchers to consider this construct as a potential mechanism of change for effective interventions (Weiss, Tint, Paquette-Smith, & Lunsky, 2016; Keen et al., 2010).

Coping Strategies and Perceptions (C)

Passive-avoidance coping and *optimism* were the two most predictive C factors of parent outcomes across the board. Consistent with previous literature, passive-avoidance coping predicted a lower quality of life (Dardas & Ahmad, 2015; Hastings et al., 2005) and has been related to poorer parent outcomes during the transition period (AUTHOR, 2018). Similarly, the current results also replicated the positive effects of optimism on positive parent outcomes (Ekas, Lickenbrock & Whitman, 2010; Greenberg, et al., 2004)

Conversely, we found mixed results regarding *problem-focused* and *emotion-focused coping*. Interestingly, higher levels of problem-focused coping predicted lower stress but a poorer transition experience. This finding is somewhat aligned with a relatively large study that showed higher levels of problem-focused coping were associated with better mother outcomes (Smith et al., 2008), but contradicts a study that found a positive relationship between task-oriented coping and stress among parents of young children with ASD (Dabrowska & Pisula, 2010). The negative effect found between problem-focused coping and parent transition experience may be explained by the contextual characteristics of coping, meaning that coping is not innately good or bad but is based on the context in which it is expressed (Folkman & Moskowitz, 2004). For instance, it is known that schools' transition practices are often not up-to-standard despite parental efforts (Kucharczyk et al., 2015; AUTHOR , 2019). An ineffective educational transition system may negate the effect of strong parental efforts (AUTHOR, 2018), aggravating the negative experiences among parents who actively try to tackle problems. Further research is needed to confirm this claim. Similarly, the effect of emotion-focused coping was mixed (Aldwin & Revenson, 1987). The results suggested that emotion-focused coping did not have a direct effect on the selected parent outcomes, similar to Benson (2010)'s findings.

Overall, the results support some general practices, such as enhancing parenting capabilities, providing quality family-professional relationships, reinforcing active parent involvement, and providing family-centered approaches proposed by Dunst and Trivette (1996) and AUTHOR and colleagues (2012; 2018).

Indirect effect of A, B and C on X

To advance our understanding of the relationships between A, B, and C on X, we examined a partially latent structural regression model. The model revealed a significant full mediation effect between A and X through two paths ($A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X$ and $A \rightarrow \text{Optimism} \rightarrow B \rightarrow X$). The results showed that resources and optimism mediated the relationship between stressors and parent outcomes. There is a debate about the directional effect between resources and optimism and the reciprocal relationship (Carver, Scheier, & Segerstrom, 2010). The results supported the claim that optimism motivates individuals to act in ways that lead to more resources. For instance, optimistic individuals are more liked by others and are more likely to seek out social resources (Carver et al., 2010). Optimistic individuals also demonstrate higher goal engagement and attainment of high-priority goals (Geers, Wellman, & Lassiter, 2009). In the context of transition, it is possible that optimistic parents not only have more social support, but they are also better able to solve prioritized problems with persistence through available support. However, the full mediation results contrast with a study with parents of young children with ASD (Ekas et al., 2010). In Ekas and colleagues' study, family support was associated with increased optimism, which predicted higher levels of positive maternal outcome (e.g., well-being), suggesting that the directional effect is reversed. This highlights the need to continue to understand the directional effect between optimism and resources. Regardless, the overarching

impact of resources highlights the potential of interventions that connect parents with resources during transition (Trainor, 2008; Taylor, Hodapp, Burke, Waitz-Kudla, & Rabideau, 2017).

Another indirect effect was found between emotion-focused coping and parent outcomes through resources. Unlike optimism, emotion-focused coping had a negative direct effect on adaptive outcomes, but this negative direct effect was counteracted by the positive indirect effect through resources. While this effect may indicate a suppressor effect, it is consistent with some previous findings that emotion-focused coping leads to positive outcomes, such as lower stress levels, among parents of children with ASD (Manning et al., 2011; Hastings et al., 2005; AUTHOR, 2009); however, this also supports the paradoxical, negative effect of emotion-focused coping on mental health as summarized by Aldwin and Revenson (1987). The mixed results in the literature may be due to the buffering, countering indirect effect, implying that emotion-focused coping is a double-edged sword – it leads to desirable outcomes if resources are available and obtainable, but has a detrimental effect if used in the absence of resources.

Together, the positive direct and indirect effects of optimism and emotion-focused coping on parent outcomes through resources raises an interesting question: What coping strategies help parents access necessary resources in order to obtain good family and parent outcomes? It should be noted that avoidance coping leads to poor outcomes across the board. It is also worth noting that the nature of the problems impacts the effectiveness of coping strategies (Pearlin & Schooler, 1978). Emotion-focused coping might be more effective in adapting to unsolvable problems (Folkman & Moskowitz, 2004; AUTHOR, 2014; AUTHOR, 2017). It appears that instead of tackling all transition-related stressors directly, staying optimistic and focusing on one's emotional status may be key for gathering support from a system that fails to produce a seamless transition from school to adult service outcomes as promised by IDEA (2004).

Limitations

Due to the malleability of Structural Equation Modeling models (MacCallum & Austin, 2000), we encourage readers to interpret the linear regressions and SEM results together. Also, in order to avoid power issues and overloading, the current study did not include important variables, such as repetitive/rigid behaviors (Smith et al., 2008), use of psychotropic medication (Lounds et al., 2017), the presence comorbid conditions such as fragile X syndrome (Abbeduto et al., 2004), and marital relationship (Kersh, Hedvat, Hauser-Cram, & Warfield, 2006). Moreover, to reliably untangle the reciprocal relationship between B and C, longitudinal studies are needed.

Conclusion and Research and Clinical Implications

To summarize, we provide a comprehensive picture of the relationships between the 15 predictors and four outcome variables (parent burden, transition experience, family quality of life, and parent subjective health) using a relatively large sample size and propose three research and clinical implications. First, the ABCX model appears to be a useful framework to conceptualize parent experience and parent support during transition from clinical and research perspectives. We encourage researchers to use the ABCX model to organize research questions and identify gaps in the literature. This model can provide a common understanding and language between researchers and clinicians in order to facilitate translation of research findings into clinical practices. Second, both quantitative (e.g., compliance with standard practices required by laws) and qualitative (e.g., parent-teacher relationship) aspects of transition quality are important process outcomes. While states are required to report data on secondary IEP goals and transition services, less is known about the qualitative aspects of transition. We urge researchers to develop measures that capture the full spectrum of quality transition that can be

used for state-level reporting. Third, this study generated an actionable list of variables that can be measured and mediated by brief interventions. For instance, the transition planning quality measure predicted parent transition experience, family quality of life, and parent health. It can be used during IEP meetings to ensure compliance with best practices. Brief interventions can be planned to address domains assessed by the measure, such as sharing information with parents about resources (e.g., Medicaid) and services (e.g., vocational rehabilitation). We encourage researchers to partner with the education sector and develop manualized school-based transition interventions.

References

AUTHOR (2011, February)

- Abbeduto, L., Seltzer, M. M., Shattuck, P., Krauss, M. W., Orsmond, G., & Murphy, M. M. (2004). Psychological well-being and coping in mothers of youths with autism, down syndrome, or fragile X syndrome. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 109(3), 237-254. doi: 10.1352/0895-8017(2004)109<237:PWACIM>2.0
- Aldwin, C. M., & Revenson, T. A. (1987). Does coping help? A reexamination of the relation between coping and mental health. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(2), 337. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.53.2.337
- Allen, C. W., Silove, N., Williams, K., & Hutchins, P. (2007). Validity of the social communication questionnaire in assessing risk of autism in preschool children with developmental problems. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 37(7), 1272-1278. doi: 10.1007/s10803-006-0279-7
- Ankeny, E. M., Wilkins, J., & Spain, J. (2009). Mothers' experiences of transition planning for their children with disabilities. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 41(6), 28-36. doi: 10.1177/0885728807030002040
- Aschbrenner, K. A., Greenberg, J. S., Allen, S. M., & Seltzer, M. M. (2010). Subjective burden and personal gains among older parents of adults with serious mental illness. *Psychiatric Services*, 61(6), 605-611. doi: 10.1176/ps.2010.61.6.605
- Hastings, R. P., Kovshoff, H., Ward, N. J., Degli Espinosa, F., Brown, T., & Remington, B. (2005). Systems analysis of stress and positive perceptions in mothers and fathers of pre-school children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 35(5), 635-644. 10.1007/s10803-005-0007-8

- Baghdadli, A., Pry, R., Michelon, C., & Rattaz, C. (2014). Impact of autism in adolescents on parental quality of life. *Quality of Life Research*, 23(6), 1859-1868. doi: 10.1007/s11136-014-0635-6
- Benson, P. R. (2010). Coping, distress, and well-being in mothers of children with autism. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 4(2), 217-228. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2009.09.008
- Benson, P. R., & Kersh, J. (2011). Marital quality and psychological adjustment among mothers of children with ASD: Cross-sectional and longitudinal relationships. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41(12), 1675-1685. doi: 10.1007/s10803-011-1198-9
- Bishop, S. L., Richler, J., Cain, A. C., & Lord, C. (2007). Predictors of perceived negative impact in mothers of children with autism spectrum disorder. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 112(6), 450-461. doi: 10.1352/0895-8017(2007)112[450:POPNI]2.0.CO;2
- Bitsika, V., & Sharpley, C. F. (2004). Stress, anxiety and depression among parents of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Psychologists and Counsellors in Schools*, 14(2), 151-161.
- Blacher, J., Kraemer, B., & Howell, E. (2010). Family expectations and transition experiences for young adults with severe disabilities: does syndrome matter?. *Advances in Mental Health and Learning Disabilities*, 4(1), 3-16. doi: 10.5042/amhld.2010.0052
- Boehm, T. L., Carter, E. W., & Taylor, J. L. (2015). Family quality of life during the transition to adulthood for individuals with intellectual disability and/or autism spectrum disorders. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 120(5), 395-411. doi: 10.1352/1944-7558-120.5.395

- Brannan, A. M., Heflinger, C. A., & Foster, E. M. (2003). The role of caregiver strain and other family variables in determining children's use of mental health services. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders, 11*(2), 77-91. doi: 10.1177/106342660301100202
- Caldwell, J. (2006). Consumer-directed supports: Economic, health, and social outcomes for families. *Mental Retardation, 44*, 405–417. doi: 10.1352/0047-6765(2006)44[405:CSEHAS]2.0.CO;2
- Chiang, H. M., Cheung, Y. K., Li, H., & Tsai, L. Y. (2013). Factors associated with participation in employment for high school leavers with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders, 43*(8), 1832-1842.
- Cameto, R., Levine, P., & Wagner, M. (2004). *Transition planning for students with disabilities: A special topic report of findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2)*. Retrieved from <http://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED496547.pdf>
- Carter, E. W., Austin, D., & Trainor, A. A. (2012). Predictors of postschool employment outcomes for young adults with severe disabilities. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies, 23*(1), 50-63.
- Carter, A. S., Martinez-Pedraza, F. D. L., & Gray, S. A. O. (2009). Stability and individual change in depressive symptoms among mothers raising young children with ASD: Maternal and child correlates. *Journal of Clinical Psychology, 65*(12), 1270–1280. doi: 10.1002/jclp.20634
- Carver, C. S. (1997). You want to measure coping but your protocol's too long: Consider the brief cope. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 4*(1), 92-100.
- Carver, C. S., Scheier, M. F., & Segerstrom, S. C. (2010). Optimism. *Clinical Psychology Review, 30*(7), 879-889.

- Chou, Y. C., Pu, C. Y., Lee, Y. C., Lin, L. C., & Kröger, T. (2009). Effect of perceived stigmatisation on the quality of life among ageing female family carers: A comparison of carers of adults with intellectual disability and carers of adults with mental illness. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 53(7), 654-664. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2009.01173.x
- Conti-Ramsden, G., Botting, N. & Durkin, K. (2008). Parental perspectives during the transition to adulthood of adolescents with a history of specific language impairment (SLI). *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, 51(1), doi: 10.1044/1092-
- Dabrowska, A., & Pisula, E. (2010). Parenting stress and coping styles in mothers and fathers of pre-school children with autism and Down syndrome. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 54(3), 266-280. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2788.2010.01258.x
- Dardas, L. A., & Ahmad, M. M. (2015). Coping strategies as mediators and moderators between stress and quality of life among parents of children with autistic disorder. *Stress and Health*, 31(1), 5-12. doi: 10.1002/smi.2513
- Dautzenberg, M. G., Diederiks, J. P., Philipsen, H., Stevens, F. C., Tan, F. E., & Vernooij-Dassen, M. J. (2000). The competing demands of paid work and parent care: Middle-aged daughters providing assistance to elderly parents. *Research on Aging*, 22(2), 165-187. doi: 10.1177/0164027500222004
- Dempsey, I., & Keen, D. (2008). A review of processes and outcomes in family-centered services for children with a disability. *Topics in Early Childhood Special Education*, 28(1), 42-52. doi: 10.1177/0271121408316699
- Dunst, C. J. (2002). Family-centered practices birth through high school. *The Journal of Special Education*, 36(3), 141-149. doi: 10.1177/00224669020360030401

- Dunst, C. J., & Trivette, C. M. (1996). Empowerment, effective helpgiving practices and family-centered care. *Pediatric Nursing*, 22(4), 334-338.
- Dykens, E. M. (2000). Annotation: Psychopathology in children with intellectual disability. *The Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and Allied Disciplines*, 41(4), 407-417. doi: 10.1111/1469-7610.00626
- Eaves, L. C., & Ho, H. H. (2008). Young adult outcome of autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(4), 739-747. doi: 10.1007/s10803-007-0441-x
- Ekas, N. V., Lickenbrock, D. M., & Whitman, T. L. (2010). Optimism, social support, and wellbeing in mothers of children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(10), 1274-1284. doi: 10.1007/s10803-010-0986-y
- fathers of pre-school children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental*
- Florian, V., & Dangoor, N. (1994). Personal and familial adaptation of women with severe physical disabilities: A further validation of the Double ABCX Model, *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 56(3), 735-746. doi: 10.2307/352882
- Folkman, S., & Moskowitz, J. T. (2004). Coping: Pitfalls and promise. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 55, 745-774. doi:10.1146/annurev.psych.55.090902.141456
- Fong, L., Wilgosh, L., & Sobsey, D. (1993). The experience of parenting an adolescent with autism. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*, 40,105-113 doi: 10.1080/0156655930400204
- Fujiura, G. T., Yamaki, K., & Czechowicz, S. (1998). Disability among ethnic and racial minorities in the United States: A summary of economic status and family structure. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*, 9(2), 111-130. doi: 10.1177/104420739800900207

- Geers, A. L., Wellman, J. A., & Lassiter, G. D. (2009). Dispositional optimism and engagement: the moderating influence of goal prioritization. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 96(4), 913. doi: 10.1037/a0014830
- Glidden, L. M., & Jobe, B. M. (2007). Measuring parental daily rewards and worries in the transition to adulthood. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 112(4), 275-288. doi: 10.1352/0895-8017(2007)112[275:MPDRAW]2.0.CO;2
- Greenberg, J. S., Seltzer, M. M., Krauss, M. W., Chou, R. J., & Hong, J. (2004). The effect of quality of the relationship between mothers and adult children with schizophrenia, autism, or down syndrome on maternal well-being: The mediating role of optimism. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 74, 14–25.
- Ha, J. H., Hong, J., Seltzer, M. M., & Greenberg, J. S. (2008). Age and gender differences in the well-being of midlife and aging parents with children with mental health or developmental problems: Report of a national study. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 49(3), 301-316. doi: 10.1177/002214650804900305
- Hagner, D., Kurtz, A., Cloutier, H., Arakelian, C., Brucker, D. L., & May, J. (2012). Outcomes of a family-centered transition process for students with autism spectrum disorders. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 27(1), 42-50.
- Hanley-Maxwell, C., Pogoloff, S. M., & Whitney Thomas, J. (1998). Families: The heart of transition. In F. Rusch & J. G. Chadsey (Eds.), *Beyond high school: Transition from school to work* (pp. 234-264). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.
- Hastings, R. P., Kovshoff, H., Ward, N. J., Degli Espinosa, F., Brown, T., & Remington, B. (2005). Systems analysis of stress and positive perceptions in mothers and fathers of pre-school

- children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 35, 635-644.
doi:10.1007/s10803-005-0007-8
- Hayes, S. A., & Watson, S. L. (2013). The impact of parenting stress: A meta-analysis of studies comparing the experience of parenting stress in parents of children with and without autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 43(3), 629-642. doi: 10.1007/s10803-012-1604-y
- Heller, T., Miller, A. B., & Factor, A. (1997). Adults with mental retardation as supports to their parents: Effects on parental caregiving appraisal. *Mental Retardation*, 35(5), 338-346.
doi: 10.1352/0047-6765(1997)035<0338:AWMRAS>2.0.CO;2
- Hendricks, D. R., & Wehman, P. (2009). Transition from School to Adulthood for Youth with Autism Spectrum Disorders: Review and Recommendations. *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 24(2), 77-88. doi: 10.1177/1088357608329827
- Hirsch, J. K., Britton, P. C., & Conner, K. R. (2010). Psychometric evaluation of the life orientation test—revised in treated opiate dependent individuals. *International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction*, 8(3), 423-431.
- Hoffman, L., Marquis, J., Poston, D., Summers, J. A., & Turnbull, A. (2006). Assessing family outcomes: psychometric evaluation of the Beach Center Family Quality of Life Scale. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 68(4), 1069-1083.
- Holmes, T. H., & Rahe, R. H. (1967). The social readjustment rating scale. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 11(2), 213-218.
- Howlin, P., & Moss, P. (2012). Adults with autism spectrum disorders. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(5), 275-283.

- Howlin, P., Goode, S., Hutton, J., & Rutter, M. (2004). Adult outcome for children with autism. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 45(2), 212-229.
- Jobe, B. M., & Glidden, L. M. (2008). Predicting maternal rewards and worries for the transition to adulthood of children with developmental disabilities. *Journal on Developmental Disabilities*, 14, 69–80.
- Kalb, L. G., Hagopian, L. P., Gross, A. L., & Vasa, R. A. (2017). Psychometric characteristics of the mental health crisis assessment scale in youth with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 59(1), 48-56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12748>
- Keen, D., Couzens, D., Muspratt, S., & Rodger, S. (2010). The effects of a parent-focused intervention for children with a recent diagnosis of autism spectrum disorder on parenting stress and competence. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 4(2), 229-241.
- Kersh, J., Hedvat, T. T., Hauser-Cram, P., & Warfield, M. E. (2006). The contribution of marital quality to the well-being of parents of children with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 50(12), 883-893.
- Kim, H. W., Greenberg, J. S., Seltzer, M. M., & Krauss, M. W. (2003). The role of coping in maintaining the psychological well-being of mothers of adults with intellectual disability and mental illness. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 47(4-5), 313-327.
- AUTHOR (2016).
- Kucharczyk, S., Reutebuch, C. K., Carter, E. W., Hedges, S., El Zein, F., Fan, H., & Gustafson, J. R. (2015). Addressing the needs of adolescents with autism spectrum disorder: Considerations and complexities for high school interventions. *Exceptional Children*, 81(3), 329-349. doi: 10.1177/1088357614522290

- Landmark, L. J., Ju, S., & Zhang, D. (2010). Substantiated best practices in transition: Fifteen plus years later. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 33(3), 165-176.
- Lavee, Y., McCubbin, H. I., & Patterson, J. M. (1985). The double ABCX model of family stress and adaptation: An empirical test by analysis of structural equations with latent variables. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*, 811-825. doi: 10.1007/s10882-012-9285
- Lillenstein, D. J., Levinson, E. M., Sylvester, C. A., & Brady, E. E. (2006). School Psychologist Involvement in Transition Planning: A Comparison of Attitudes and Perceptions of School Psychologists and Transition Coordinators. *Journal for Vocational Special Needs Education*, 29(1), 4-16.
- Lounds, J., Seltzer, M. M., Greenberg, J. S., & Shattuck, P. T. (2007). Transition and change in adolescents and young adults with autism: Longitudinal effects on maternal well-being. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 112(6), 401-417
- Lustig, D. C. (1999). Families with an adult with mental retardation: Predictors of family adjustment. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling*, 30(3), 11-18. doi: 10.1352/1934-9556-47.4
- MacCallum, R. C., & Austin, J. T. (2000). Applications of structural equation modeling in psychological research. *Annual review of psychology*, 51(1), 201-226.
- Maenner, M. J., Smith, L. E., Hong, J., Makuch, R., Greenberg, J. S., & Mailick, M. R. (2013). Evaluation of an activities of daily living scale for adolescents and adults with developmental disabilities. *Disability and Health Journal*, 6(1), 8-17. doi: 10.1016/j.dhjo.2012.08.005
- Mangen, D. J., Bengtson, V. L., & Landry, P. H. (Eds.). (1988). *Measurement of intergenerational relations*. Newbury Park: sage.

- Manning, M. M., Wainwright, L., & Bennett, J. (2011). The double ABCX model of adaptation in racially diverse families with a school-age child with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 41, 320–331. doi: 10.1007/s10803-010-1056-1
- Martinez, D. C., Conroy, J. W., & Cerreto, M. C. (2012). Parent involvement in the transition process of children with intellectual disabilities: The influence of inclusion on parent desires and expectations for postsecondary education. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 9(4), 279-288.
- McCubbin, H. I., & Patterson, J. M. (1983). The family stress process: The double ABCX model of adjustment and adaptation. *Marriage & Family Review*, 6(1-2), 7-37. doi: 10.1300/J002v06n01_02
- McCubbin, M. A., & McCubbin, H. I. (1996). Resiliency in families: A conceptual model of family adjustment and adaptation in response to stress and crises. In H. I., McCubbin, Thompson, A. I., & McCubbin, M. A (Eds.) , *Family assessment: Resiliency, Coping and Adaptation: Inventories for Research and Practice* (pp. 5). Madison: University of Wisconsin Press.
- AUTHOR (2014).
- McStay, R. L., Trembath, D., & Dissanayake, C. (2014). Stress and family quality of life in parents of children with autism spectrum disorder: Parent gender and the double ABCX model. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 44(12), 3101-3118. doi: 10.1016/j.rasd.2014.07.011
- Menard, J., Schoolcraft, S., Glidden, L. M., & Lazarus, C. (2002). *Transition daily rewards and worries*. In Poster presented at the 35th Annual Gatlinburg Conference, San Diego, CA.

- Minnes, P., Woodford, L., & Passey, J. (2007). Mediators of Well-being in Ageing Family Carers of Adults with Intellectual Disabilities. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, 20(6), 539-552. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-3148.2007.00364.
- Migliore, A., Timmons, J., Butterworth, J., & Lugas, J. (2012). Predictors of employment and postsecondary education of youth with autism. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 55(3), 176-184.
- Moore, E. J. & Schelling, A. (2015). Postsecondary inclusion for individuals with an intellectual disability and its effects on employment. *Journal of Intellectual Disabilities*, 19, 130–148.10.1177/1744629514564448
- Nachshen, J. S., & Minnes, P. (2005). Empowerment in parents of school-aged children with and without developmental disabilities. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 49(12), 889-904. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2788.2005.00721.x
- Neece, C. L., Kraemer, B. R., & Blacher, J. (2009). Transition satisfaction and family well being among parents of young adults with severe intellectual disability. *Intellectual and developmental disabilities*, 47(1), 31-43. doi: 10.1352/2009.47:31-43
- Newman, L., Wagner, M., Juang, T., Shaver, D., Knokey, A. M., Yu, J., . . .Cameto, R. (2011). *Secondary school programs and performance of students with disabilities: A special topic report of findings from the National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2)* (NCSER2012-3000). Washington, DC:U.S. Department of Education, National Center for Special Education Research.

- Norris, M., & Lecavalier, L. (2010). Screening accuracy of Level 2 autism spectrum disorder rating scales. A review of selected instruments. *Autism : The International Journal of Research and Practice*, 14, 263–284. doi: 10.1177/1362361309348071
- Parish, S. L., & Lutwick, Z. E. (2005). A critical analysis of the emerging crisis in long-term care for people with developmental disabilities. *Social Work*, 50(4), 345-354. doi: 10.1093/sw/50.4.345
- Parish, S. L., Thomas, K. C., Williams, C. S., & Crossman, M. K. (2015). Autism and Families' Financial Burden: The Association With Health Insurance Coverage. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 120(2), 166-175. doi: 10.1352/1944-7558-120.2.166
- Pearlin, L. I., & Schooler, C. (1978). The structure of coping. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 1, 2-21. doi: 10.2307/2136319
- Plante, T. G., & Boccaccini, M. T. (1997). The Santa Clara strength of religious faith questionnaire. *Pastoral Psychology*, 45(5), 375-387. doi: 10.1037/t67691-000
- Pottie, C. G., & Ingram, K. M. (2008). Daily stress, coping, and well-being in parents of children with autism: a multilevel modeling approach. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 22(6), 855-864. doi: 10.1037/a0013604
- Rattaz, C., Michelon, C., Roeyers, H., & Baghdadli, A. (2017). Quality of Life in Parents of Young Adults with ASD: EpiTED Cohort. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-12. doi: 10.1007/s10803-017-3197-y
- Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., Nye-Lengerman, K., Purtle, J., Lello, A., & Shattuck, P. T. (2019). Identifying patterns of state vocational rehabilitation performance in serving transition-age youth on the autism spectrum. *Autism in Adulthood*, 1(2), 101-111.

- Roux, A. M., Rast, J. E., & Shattuck, P. T. (2018). State-level variation in vocational rehabilitation service use and related outcomes among transition-age youth on the autism spectrum. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 1-13.
- Rutter, M., Bailey, A. & Lord, C. (2003) *Social Communication Questionnaire (SCQ)*. Western Psychological Services.
- Schall, C., Wehman, P., & McDonough, J. L. (2012). Transition from school to work for students with autism spectrum disorders: understanding the process and achieving better outcomes. *Pediatric Clinics*, 59(1), 189-202. doi: 10.1016/j.pcl.2011.10.009
- Scheier, M. F., Carver, C. S., & Bridges, M. W. (1994). Distinguishing optimism from neuroticism (and trait anxiety, self-mastery, and self-esteem): A re-evaluation of the Life Orientation Test. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 67, 1063-1078. doi: 10.1037/0022-3514.67.6.1063
- Seltzer, M. M., Floyd, F., Song, J., Greenberg, J., & Hong, J. (2011). Midlife and aging parents of adults with intellectual and developmental disabilities: impacts of lifelong parenting. *American Journal on Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities*, 116(6), 479-499. doi: 10.1352/1944-7558-116.6.479
- Seltzer, M. M., Krauss, M. W., Orsmond, G. I., & Vestal, C. (2000). Families of adolescents and adults with autism: Uncharted territory. *International review of Research in Mental Retardation*, 23, 267-294. doi: 10.1016/S0074-7750(00)80014-2
- Seltzer, M. M., Shattuck, P., Abbeduto, L., & Greenberg, J. S. (2004). Trajectory of development in adolescents and adults with autism. *Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities Research Reviews*, 10(4), 234-247. doi: 10.1002/mrdd.20038

- Shattuck, P. T., Roux, A. M., Hudson, L. E., Taylor, J. L., Maenner, M. J., & Trani, J. F. (2012). Services for adults with an autism spectrum disorder. *The Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 57(5), 284-291. doi:10.1177/070674371205700503
- Shattuck, P. T., Wagner, M., Narendorf, S., Sterzing, P., & Hensley, M. (2011). Post-high school service use among young adults with an autism spectrum disorder. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, 165(2), 141-146. doi: 10.1001/archpediatrics.2010.279
- Siegel, K., Anderman, S. J., Anderman, S. J. & Schrimshaw, E. W. (2001). Religion and coping with health-related stress. *Psychology and Health*, 16(6), 631-653. doi: 10.1080/08870440108405864
- Smith, L. E., Hong, J., Seltzer, M. M., Greenberg, J. S., Almeida, D. M., & Bishop, S. L. (2010). Daily experiences among mothers of adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(2), 167-178. doi: 10.1007/s10803-009-0844-y
- Smith, L. E., Seltzer, M. M., Tager-Flusberg, H., Greenberg, J. S., & Carter, A. S. (2008). A comparative analysis of well-being and coping among mothers of toddlers and mothers of adolescents with ASD. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 38(5), 876. doi: 10.1007/s10803-007-0461-6
- AUTHOR. (2019).
- Soper, D.S. (2017). A-priori Sample Size Calculator for Structural Equation Models [Software]. Available from <http://www.danielsoper.com/statcalc>
- AUTHOR(2009).

- Talapatra, D. (2014). *Perceptions and roles of school psychologists in transition services for students with intellectual disabilities* (unpublished dissertation). Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, U.S.
- Taylor, J. L., Hodapp, R. M., Burke, M. M., Waitz-Kudla, S. N., & Rabideau, C. (2017). Training Parents of Youth with Autism Spectrum Disorder to Advocate for Adult Disability Services: Results from a Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 47(3), 846-857. doi: 10.1007/s10803-016-2994-z
- Test, D. W., Mazzotti, V. L., Mustian, A. L., Fowler, C. H., Kortering, L., & Kohler, P. (2009). Evidence-based secondary transition predictors for improving postschool outcomes for students with disabilities. *Career Development for Exceptional Individuals*, 32(3), 160-181. doi: 10.1177/0885728809346960
- Trainor, A. A. (2008). Using cultural and social capital to improve postsecondary outcomes and expand transition models for youth with disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, 42(3), 148-162. doi:10.1177/0022466907313346
- U.S. Department of Education. *Higher education opportunity act – 2008*. Retrieved from <https://www2.ed.gov/policy/highered/leg/hea08/index.html>
- Wehman, P., Schall, C., Carr, S., Targett, P., West, M., & Cifu, G. (2014). Transition from school to adulthood for youth with autism spectrum disorder: What we know and what we need to know. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1044207313518071>
- Weiss, J. A., Robinson, S., Fung, S., Tint, A., Chalmers, P., & Lunskey, Y. (2013). Family hardiness, social support, and self-efficacy in mothers of individuals with autism spectrum

disorders. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 7(11), 1310-1317. doi: 10.1177/1362361312441266.

Weiss, J. A., Tint, A., Paquette-Smith, M., & Lunsy, Y. (2016). Perceived self-efficacy in parents of adolescents and adults with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 20(4), 425-434. doi: 10.1177/1362361315586292

Williamson, H. J., & Perkins, E. A. (2014). c. *Mental Retardation*, 52(2), 147-159. doi:10.1352/1934-9556-52.2.147

AUTHOR (2017)

AUTHOR (2018).

Zimet, G. D., Powell, S. S., Farley, G. K., Werkman, S., & Berkoff, K. A. (1990). Psychometric characteristics of the multidimensional scale of perceived social support. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, 55(3-4), 610-617. doi: 10.1207/s15327752jpa5503&4_17